Formalization of DFA using lattices

Recall worklist algorithm

```
let m: map from edge to computed value at edge
let worklist: work list of nodes

for each edge e in CFG do
   m(e) := ∅          ⊥

for each node n do
   worklist.add(n)

while (worklist.empty.not) do
   let n := worklist.remove_any;
   let info_in := m(n.incoming_edges);
   let info_out := F(n, info_in);
   for i := 0 .. info_out.length do
      let new_info := m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ∪  ⊔
                      info_out[i];
      if (m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ≠ new_info])
         m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) := new_info;
         worklist.add(n.outgoing_edges[i].dst);
```

Using lattices

- We formalize our domain with a powerset lattice
- What should be top and what should be bottom?

Using lattices

- We formalize our domain with a powerset lattice
- What should be top and what should be bottom?
- Does it matter?
  - It matters because, as we've seen, there is a notion of approximation, and this notion shows up in the lattice

Using lattices

- Unfortunately:
  - dataflow analysis community has picked one direction
  - abstract interpretation community has picked the other
- We will work with the abstract interpretation direction
- Bottom is the most precise (optimistic) answer, Top the most imprecise (conservative)

Direction of lattice

- Always safe to go up in the lattice
- Can always set the result to $\top$
- Hard to go down in the lattice
- Bottom will be the empty set in reading defs

Worklist algorithm using lattices

```
let m: map from edge to computed value at edge
let worklist: work list of nodes

for each edge e in CFG do
   m(e) := ⊥

for each node n do
   worklist.add(n)

while (worklist.empty.not) do
   let n := worklist.remove_any;
   let info_in := m(n.incoming_edges);
   let info_out := F(n, info_in);
   for i := 0 .. info_out.length do
      let new_info := m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ⊔
                          info_out[i];
      if (m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ≠ new_info])
         m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) := new_info;
         worklist.add(n.outgoing_edges[i].dst);
```

Termination of this algorithm?

- For reaching definitions, it terminates...
- Why?
  - lattice is finite
- Can we loosen this requirement?
  - Yes, we only require the lattice to have a finite height
- Height of a lattice: length of the longest ascending or descending chain
- Height of lattice (2^S, $\subseteq$) =

Termination of this algorithm?

- For reaching definitions, it terminates...
- Why?
  - lattice is finite
- Can we loosen this requirement?
  - Yes, we only require the lattice to have a finite height
- Height of a lattice: length of the longest ascending or descending chain
- Height of lattice (2^S, $\subseteq$) = | S |

Termination

- Still, it's annoying to have to perform a join in the worklist algorithm

```
while (worklist.empty.not) do
   let n := worklist.remove_any;
   let info_in := m(n.incoming_edges);
   let info_out := F(n, info_in);
   for i := 0 .. info_out.length do
      let new_info := m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ⊔
                          info_out[i];
      if (m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ≠ new_info])
         m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) := new_info;
         worklist.add(n.outgoing_edges[i].dst);
```

- It would be nice to get rid of it, if there is a property of the flow functions that would allow us to do so

Even more formal

- To reason more formally about termination and precision, we re-express our worklist algorithm mathematically
- We will use fixed points to formalize our algorithm

Fixed points

- Recall, we are computing m, a map from edges to dataflow information
- Define a global flow function F as follows: F takes a map m as a parameter and returns a new map m', in which individual local flow functions have been applied



$\boxed{m = F(m)}$

$x = BLOB(x)$

Fixed points

- We want to find a fixed point of F, that is to say a map m such that m = F(m)
- Approach to doing this?
- Define $\tilde{\bot}$, which is $\bot$ lifted to be a map:
  $\tilde{\bot} = \lambda$ e. $\bot$
- Compute F($\tilde{\bot}$), then F(F($\tilde{\bot}$)), then F(F(F($\tilde{\bot}$))), ... until the result doesn't change anymore $F^i(\tilde{\bot})$

Fixed points

- Formally: $Soln = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F^i(\tilde{\bot})$ $F(a)$
- Outer join has same role here as in worklist algorithm: guarantee that results keep increasing
- BUT: if the sequence $F^i(\tilde{\bot})$ for i = 0, 1, 2 ... is increasing, we can get rid of the outer join!
- How? Require that F be monotonic:
  - $\forall$ a, b . a $\sqsubseteq$ b $\Rightarrow$ F(a) $\sqsubseteq$ F(b)

Fixed points

$\tilde{\bot} \sqsubseteq F(\tilde{\bot})$

$F(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq FF(\tilde{\bot})$

$FF(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq FFF(\tilde{\bot})$

$\tilde{\bot}$ — $F(\tilde{\bot})$ — $FF(\tilde{\bot})$

Fixed points

$\tilde{\bot} \sqsubseteq F(\tilde{\bot})$

$F(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq F(F(\tilde{\bot}))$

$F^k(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq F^{k+1}(\tilde{\bot})$

$F^{k+1}(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq F^{k+2}(\tilde{\bot})$

Back to termination

- So if F is monotonic, we have what we want: finite height $\Rightarrow$ termination, without the outer join
- Also, if the local flow functions are monotonic, then global flow function F is monotonic

Another benefit of monotonicity

- Suppose Marsians came to earth, and miraculously give you a fixed point of F, call it fp.
- Then:

$\tilde{\bot} \sqsubseteq fp$

$F(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq fp$

$F^2(\tilde{\bot}) \sqsubseteq fp$

$\vdots$

$ofp \sqsubseteq fp$

Another benefit of monotonicity

- Suppose Marsians came to earth, and miraculously give you a fixed point of F, call it fp.
- Then:

$$\tilde{I} \sqsubseteq fp$$
$$F(\tilde{I}) \sqsubseteq F(fp)$$
$$F(\tilde{I}) \sqsubseteq fp$$
$$F^2(\tilde{I}) \sqsubseteq fp$$
$$\vdots$$
$$lfp \sqsubseteq fp$$

Another benefit of monotonicity

- We are computing the least fixed point...

Recap

- Let's do a recap of what we've seen so far
- Started with worklist algorithm for reaching definitions

$\top \downarrow$

$\boxed{S: x := E}$

$\top - \{x \to S\} \downarrow$

Worklist algorithm for reaching defns

```
let m: map from edge to computed value at edge
let worklist: work list of nodes

for each edge e in CFG do
   m(e) := ∅

for each node n do
   worklist.add(n)

while (worklist.empty.not) do
   let n := worklist.remove_any;
   let info_in := m(n.incoming_edges);
   let info_out := F(n, info_in);
   for i := 0 .. info_out.length do
      let new_info := m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ∪
                          info_out[i];
      if (m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ≠ new_info])
         m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) := new_info;
         worklist.add(n.outgoing_edges[i].dst);
```

Generalized algorithm using lattices

```
let m: map from edge to computed value at edge
let worklist: work list of nodes

for each edge e in CFG do
   m(e) := ⊥

for each node n do
   worklist.add(n)

while (worklist.empty.not) do
   let n := worklist.remove_any;
   let info_in := m(n.incoming_edges);
   let info_out := F(n, info_in);
   for i := 0 .. info_out.length do
      let new_info := m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ⊔
                          info_out[i];
      if (m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) ≠ new_info])
         m(n.outgoing_edges[i]) := new_info;
         worklist.add(n.outgoing_edges[i].dst);
```

Next step: removed outer join

- Wanted to remove the outer join, while still providing termination guarantee
- To do this, we re-expressed our algorithm more formally
- We first defined a "global" flow function F, and then expressed our algorithm as a fixed point computation

Guarantees

- If F is monotonic, don't need outer join
- If F is monotonic and height of lattice is finite: iterative algorithm terminates
- If F is monotonic, the fixed point we find is the least fixed point.

What about if we start at top?

- What if we start with $\widetilde{\top}$: $F(\widetilde{\top})$, $F(F(\widetilde{\top}))$, $F(F(F(\widetilde{\top})))$

$\top \sqsupseteq F(\top)$

$F(\top) \sqsupseteq F^2(\top)$

What about if we start at top?

- What if we start with $\widetilde{\top}$: $F(\widetilde{\top})$, $F(F(\widetilde{\top}))$, $F(F(F(\widetilde{\top})))$
- We get the greatest fixed point
- Why do we prefer the least fixed point?
  - More precise



Graphically



Graphically



Graphically



Graphically, another way