Class-based model

Have classes that describe the format of objects

 Create objects by stating the class of the object to be created.

 The created object is called an instance of the class

Class-based model

 In a class based model, the class is sometimes an object too (as is the case in Python)

Q: what is the class of the class object?

Class-based model

 In a class based model, the class is sometimes an object too (as is the case in Python)

- Q: what is the class of the class object?
 - The "meta-class"? But then do we have a meta-meta-class?
 - many possibilities, but no clear answer
 - turns out to be a nasty problem!

What's the alternative?

• Suppose we didn't have classes


How would one survive?

Prototype-based models

- Just have objects
 - Create a new object by cloning another one
 - Add/update fields later
- Benefits:
 - Simplifies the definition of the language
 - Avoids meta-class problem
- Drawbacks:
 - Don't have classes for static typing
 - Some find the model harder to grock
- Python has hints of a prototype-based language.
 Go back to code

Methods

Methods



Structural, nominal subtyping

```
class Point:
  x = 0
  y = 0
  def move(self,dx,dy):
    self.x = self.x + dx
    self.y = self.y + dy

p = Point()
```

```
class Point2:
  x = 0
  y = 0
  def move(self,dx,dy):
    self.x = self.x + dx
    self.y = self.y + dy

q = Point2()
```

- p and q of the same type?
 - In Java, no: nominal subtyping (using names of classes to determine subtyping)
 - In Python, yes: structural subtyping (using fields/methods to determine subtyping)

Next: constructors

Go back to code

Inheritance

Key concept of OO languages

Someone tell me what inheritance is?

Inheritance

Key concept of OO languages

- Someone tell me what inheritance is?
- isa "concept"

Examples?

Examples of inheritance

Overriding

- Super-class method can be overwritten in sub-class
- Polymorphism
 - external clients can write code that handles many different kinds of objects in the same way
 - don't care about implementation details: as long as the object knows to draw itself, that's good enough

Polymorphism, continued

 Super-class can have methods that are not overridden, but that work differently for different sub-classes

 For example: super-class method functionality changes because the superclass calls a method that gets overwritten in the sub-class

Simple example

```
class Shape:
    def draw(self, screen):
        # some python code here
    def erase(self, screen):
        screen.setcolor("white")
        self.draw(screen)
        screen.setcolor("black")
```

class Rec(Shape):
 def draw(self, screen):
 # some python code here

class Oval(Shape):
 def draw(self, screen):
 # some python code here

Stepping away from Python

 What are the fundamental issues with inheritance?

Stepping away from Python

- What are the fundamental issues with inheritance?
- Dispatch mechanism
 - most compilers use v-tables
 - more complicated with multi-methods
- Overloading vs. overriding
 - what's the difference?
- How to decide on the inheritance graph?
 - not always obvious, see next example

Rectangle and Square

```
class Rectangle:
  length = 0
  width = 0
  def area(this):
    return this.length *
        this.width
```

```
class Square:
    length = 0
    def area(this):
        return this.length *
        this.length
```

Which should be a sub-class of which?

Rectangle and Square

```
class Rectangle:
    length = 0
    width = 0
    def area(this):
        return this.length *
        this.width
```

```
class Square:
    length = 0
    def area(this):
        return this.length *
        this.length
```

Which should be a sub-class of which?

Answer is not clear...

Option 1: Rectangle isa Square

```
class Square:
  length = 0
  def area(this):
    return this.length *
           this.length
class Rectangle(Square):
 width = 0
  def area(this):
    return this.length *
           this.width
```

Option 1: Rectangle isa Square

```
class Square:
  length = 0
  def area(this):
    return this.length *
            this.length
class Rectangle(Square):
 width = 0
  def area(this):
    return this.length *
            this.width
```

- + Store only what is needed (one field for square)
- —Does not follow "isa" relationship from math (rectangle is not a square...)
- Have to override area method

Option 2: Square isa Recangle

```
class Rectangle:
  length = 0
 width = 0
 def area(this):
    return this.length *
            this.width
class Square(Rectangle):
  _init__(self,len):
   self.length = len
    self.width = len
```

Option 2: Square isa Recangle

```
class Rectangle:
  length = 0
 width = 0
 def area(this):
   return this.length *
            this.width
class Square(Rectangle):
   _init__(self,len):
   self.length = len
   self.width = len
```

- + Follows is a relationship from math
- + Don't need to write two area methods
- Can't enfore invariant that length=width
- Use two fields for Square (len and width)

But, does it matter? Performance is a tricky matter. Often better to implement first, then use profiler to find where bottlenecks are...

Option 3:

```
class Shape:
...

class Rectangle(Shape):
length = 0
width = 0
def area(this):
return this.length *
this.width

class Square(Shape):
length = 0
def area(this):
return this.length *
this.length
```

Option 3:

```
class Shape:
...

class Rectangle(Shape):
length = 0
width = 0
def area(this):
return this.length *
this.width

class Square(Shape):
length = 0
def area(this):
return this.length *
this.length
```

- + Store only what is needed (one field for square)
- Does not follow "isa" relationship from math (rectangle is not a square...)
- Have to write two area methods

Complex numbers

class Real: RealPart = 0 class Complex:

RealPart = 0

ComplexPart = 0

The same exact options present themselves here, with the same tradeoffs!

Summary of (single) inheritance

Inheritance is a powerful mechanism

- From the programmer's perspective, difficulty is in defining the inheritance diagram
- From a language implementer's perspective, difficulty is in making dynamic dispatch work

Multiple inheritance

```
class ColorTextBox(ColorBox,TextPoint):
    def draw(self,screen,pos):
        ColorBox.draw(self,screen,pos)
        r=TextPoint.draw(self,screen,pos)
        return r
    def __str__(self):
        return ColorBox.__str__(self) + " text: " + str(self.text)
```

What are the issues?

- Inheritance tree becomes a DAG
- What's the problem?

What are the issues?

 Issue 1: fields/methods with the same name inherited from two different places

• Issue 2: diamond problem, same exact field inherited by two different paths

What are the issues?

 Because of these issues, Java does not allow multiple inheritance

 Java does allow multiple inheritance of interfaces. How is that different from general multiple inheritance?


How Python solves these issues

• When you say: class C(C₁, C₂, ...)

- For any attribute not defined in C, Python first looks up in C₁, and parents of C₁
- If it doesn't find it there, it looks in C₂
 and parents of C₂
- And so on...
- What kind of search is this?

How Python solves these issues

How Python solves these issues



Does this solve the two issues?

- Issue 1: fields/methods with the same name inherited from two different places
 - Solved because we give leftmost parent priority

- Issue 2: diamond problem, same exact field inherited by two different paths
 - Solved because there is only one copy

Python's solutions

 For certain methods, may want one parent, whereas for other methods, may want another. Can always overwrite method and redirect to the right parent

What about BFS?

Next up decorators

See code